# $\circledast$ Feedback on Instruction and Course <br> University of Missouri 

Group Report for: Kent Ahrens; Course: Sample Course
No. Respondents: 3
No. Enrolled: 3
Course Feedback Form

| Choices: Strongly Agree, Agree, Neutral, Disagree, Strongly Disagree | Percent of Responses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Structured | SA (5) | A (4) | N (3) | D (2) | SD (1) | \# Rsp | Mean | Std. <br> Dev. |
| The class was clearly organized. | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 3 | 2.00 | 0.82 |
| I knew what was expected of me in this class. | 0\% | 33\% | 33\% | 0\% | 33\% | 3 | 2.67 | 1.25 |
| I received feedback on class assignments that was helpful. | 0\% | 0\% | 67\% | 0\% | 33\% | 3 | 2.33 | 0.94 |
| Structured Summary Score: 2.33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supportive | SA (5) | A (4) | N (3) | D (2) | SD (1) | \# Rsp | Mean | Std. <br> Dev. |
| The instructor encouraged students to play an active role in the class. | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% | 67\% | 3 | 1.67 | 0.94 |
| The instructor prompted students to ask questions. | 33\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 3 | 3.33 | 1.70 |
| I was encouraged to communicate with my instructor outside of class. | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 67\% | 3 | 2.00 | 1.41 |
| Supportive Summary Score: 2.33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cognitive Engagement | SA (5) | A (4) | N (3) | D (2) | SD (1) | \# Rsp | Mean | Std. Dev. |
| I had opportunities to solve problems in this class. | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% | 33\% | 33\% | 3 | 2.33 | 1.25 |
| The class allowed me to think creatively about issues in the field. | 0\% | 33\% | 33\% | 0\% | $33 \%$ | 3 | 2.67 | 1.25 |
| I can apply knowledge and information from this class to my life. | 0\% | 67\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 3 | 3.00 | 1.41 |
| Cognitive Engagement Summary Score: 2.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inclusion | SA (5) | A (4) | N (3) | D (2) | SD (1) | \# Rsp | Mean | Std. <br> Dev. |
| This class has helped me develop the skills necessary to work effectively with people from various backgrounds. | 33\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 3 | 3.33 | 1.70 |
| My instructor saw cultural and personal differences as assets. | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% | 0\% | 67\% | 3 | 2.00 | 1.41 |
| My instructor respected the expression of diverse ideas. | 0\% | 33\% | 0\% | 33\% | 33\% | 3 | 2.33 | 1.25 |
| Inclusion Summary Score: 2.56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collaborative | SA (5) | A (4) | N (3) | D (2) | SD (1) | \# Rsp | Mean | Std. <br> Dev. |
| The instructor effectively facilitated interactions among students. | 0\% | 33\% | 33\% | 0\% | 33\% | 3 | 2.67 | 1.25 |
| In-class activities and/or interactions with classmates contributed to my learning. | 0\% | 67\% | 0\% | 0\% | 33\% | 3 | 3.00 | 1.41 |
| Collaborative Summary Score: 2.83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Assessment Resource Center

University of Missouri

| Feedback to Other Students (IDK = I Don't Know) | \% Yes \% No \% IDK | $\#$ <br> Rsp |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Would you recommend this class to other students regarding...? |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS CONTENT | $0 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $33 \%$ | 3 |
| CLASS STRUCTURE (E.G., ORGANIZATION, PACING) | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 3 |
| POSITIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT | $33 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 3 |
| INSTRUCTOR'S TEACHING SKILL/STYLE | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | 3 |
| FAIRNESS OF GRADING | $0 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $33 \%$ | 3 |

University of Missouri
Student Information (NA = Not Applicable, NR = No
Response)

| Response) |  | Expected Grade | Class Year |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| Requirement | $67 \%$ | A | $33 \%$ | Freshman | $33 \%$ |
| Elective | $33 \%$ | B | $33 \%$ | Sophomore | $0 \%$ |
| Other | $0 \%$ | C | $0 \%$ | Junior | $0 \%$ |
|  |  | D | $33 \%$ | Senior | $33 \%$ |
|  |  | F | $0 \%$ | Graduate | $0 \%$ |
|  | S | $0 \%$ | Other | $33 \%$ |  |
|  |  | $0 \%$ |  |  |  |

Construct Means

| Structured | Supportive |  | Cog. <br> Engage. |  | Inclusion |  | Collaborative |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean | 2.33 | Mean | 2.33 | Mean | 2.67 | Mean | 2.56 | Mean | 2.83 |
| Std. Dev. | 1.05 | Std. Dev. | 1.56 | Std. <br> Dev. | 1.33 | Std. Dev. | 1.57 | Std. Dev. | 1.34 |

Mean is the average of the group of student scores for that item on the course feedback survey.
Standard Deviation (Std. Dev.) is a measure of how spread out the scores are around the mean. The higher the value for the standard deviation, the more spread of the scores are; a lower standard deviation indicates that the student scores are more clustered around the mean.

What are one to three specific things about the class that helped to support your learning?

Nothing

Great Class

What are one to three specific things about the class that could be improved to better support your learning? Nothing

NA

Nothing

## Feedback on Instruction and Course
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Please note that your student feedback scores were presented earlier in this report in each of the 5 data constructs. Shown here is the mapping of those data constructs (black column) to MU's teaching dimensions (gold column). When completing the Self Reflection portion of the annual review process, you are encouraged to discuss your student feedback in relation to the teaching dimensions.

## Teaching Dimensions of the TFELT Model

## Student Feedback Instrument Data Constructs



Empowering \& Supportive
< Supportive


Structured \& Intentional
< Structured


Relevant \& Engaging
< Cognitive Engagement

(in all dimensions)
< Inclusion

